Previous references.

1903. 0/4.
(Accounts)

File No. 85 of 1903-04

Serial Nos. 1-2

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 2.

Part B. for

SUBJECT.

Application of Mr. Ananda Rao Sirsi for additional allowance of ~~[illegible]~~ of Rupees to be allowed to count towards privilege leave on full pay the period of 27 days during the Midsummer recess of 1902 during which he was in charge of the Chikmagalur Municipality in addition to Treasury work drawing an allowance of Rs. 50/- p.m. 1400.

1. 5656/242 dt 7-3-1904 fm the Registrar Ch. Accounts forwards, by order of Govt, letter from Mr Ananda Rao Sirsi requesting to be allowed to reckon the period of 27 days during the midsummer recess of 1902 during which he was in charge of the Duties of Treasury & the Municipality of Chikmagalur

G. R. S. 58

2. 2822-3/4 dt 30-6-1904 fm the Registrar & Comptroller.

Office of the Dewan of Mysore.

File No. (if any) 85 of 03 A.

Directions.

No., date and purport of receipts to be entered in red, and office note in black ink in order of dates.—To be written only on one side, and inside the line of margin.

Office Note.

Branch judicial

Subject Application of Mr Ananda Rao S. Sirsi, Additional Munsiff of Nyapore, to be allowed to count the period of 27 days during the recess of 1902 (from the 14th April to the 10th May) during which he was in Charge of the Kadur Dt Treasury and the Municipality of Chikmagalur, as entitling him to privilege leave on full pay.

Letter No 5656/263 dated 7th March 1904 from the Registrar, Chief Court forwarding for orders the — — — — —

Mr Ananda Rao was in charge of the Kadur Dt Treasury and the Chikmagalur Municipality as per Govt order No R. 2229–31 dated 25th November 1901.

The Registrar states that though Munsiffs are not allowed to reckon the period during which they were doing Treasury work with an allowance of Rs 50, as entitling them to privilege leave on ~~half~~ pay, Mr Ananda Rao had to do Municipal work in addition for which he received no allowance.

Articles 204 ~~[illegible]~~ of the Mysore Services Regulations, ~~[illegible]~~ lay down that an officer who is prevented from availing himself of the vacation by general or special orders issued by Competent authority, may be granted privilege leave under the ordinary rules, and art. 206 provides for cases in which an officer is prevented from availing himself of a part only of the vacation.

Article 204 or 206 seems to apply to the case of Mr Ananda Rao Sirsi according as the period of his duty comprised the whole or only a part of the recess.

The Comptroller may perhaps be Consulted in the matter.

A draft is submitted for approval or other orders

20/4/04 We have first to ascertain whether the Vice Presidentship of the Chikmagalur MCs was, during the period in question, an ordinary part of the duties of the Deputy Commissioner. ~~draft [illegible]~~

I see from the terms of the G.O of 25-11-01 that the yearly allowance of Rs 50 was intended for charge of the Municipality as well as the Deputy. If the Vice as it could appear, the charge of the MCs was part of the Deputy Commissioner's duties, no separate allowance can be claimed for the former; nor can

work done in the capacity of Vice Treas
during vacation should be entitled to
leave on full pay.
Mear Mr/L an order in a sense
Draft Sd 6/5/04
7/5/04 2/5/04
7/5/04

I think the draft put up may be passed
It does not seem desirable that Officers
should be allowed to ask for a separate
remuneration or recompense for
every item of work given to them
A para may perhaps be added to the
draft to the effect that when Judicial
or other Officers are placed in temporary
charge of Treasuries, they should
without claiming any remuneration
or recompense beyond what is allowed
by Govt for the charge of the Treasury,
perform such other duties as may be
given to them by the Dy Comrs owing
to the exigencies of Govt work. The
Dy Comrs should at the same time
not assign to those Officers any work
which they cannot conveniently perform
without detriment to their own legitimate
duties.

1321/R2.13.V.04 Subd to the Divan
through the 1st Dy Comr 3/5/04.
13.5.04 The draft seems suitable
and may be issued - 13/5/04 D.D.

civil
(1) Judge ought not to be
a Vice President or Vice
President - I believe there
is a standing order on the
subject. Meanwhile
we are in Treasury Offs
Hence the demand is Rs 0
and no charge head of the
Treasury & of the Municipality.

13/5/04

Subd to the Dewan
for orders as to
the issue of the
draft -

14/5/04

(8) do

I am to say that
for such reasons
as to
from
of receipt
claim
earning
it ought to be

15.V.04

Subd to the Dy Dr for perusal

16/5/04

I regret my inability to
agree - It was for change of
both the Treasury and the Municipality
that Mr Ananda Rao was given an allowance
of Rs 50 - The grant of an allowance for Treasury
change to all pay holders became for Municipal change

decision upon the Treasury Officer is an anomaly which in my humble opinion should be deprecated. If different periods were involved the case would of course be different. The Diwan's note seems to show that his misapprehension is that such was the case. By all means let Govt Officers deserving of his regard without remuneration by his being placed in charge of the Municipality have their full pay & privilege leave. But when he gets paid for his detention not by mere chance alone but by reason of his being in charge of the Municipality quite a different aspect is given to the matter. Can we request the Diwan to reconsider his decision? C. 17-5-04

Submit to the Diwan
Ll
18/5/04

1779
18.V.04.

18814

My view is that the payment is forwarded & that the loss of privilege of vacation I do not see how we can refuse privilege leave on full pay. Are there no precedents? Place before Council.

21.V.04

Office - for precedent if any
Ll 24/5/04

No precedents have been traceable.

Circulate for Council Meeting

LL 27/5/04

Further note by the First Councillor.

"Secretary.

"The question now seems to have assumed a different aspect. A man officer entitled to recess but not allowed to enjoy it by reason of other work given him, entitled not only to remuneration for the latter but also to full pay privilege leave as an additional quid pro quo!

"Let me see the order under which "municipos who are unable to avail themselves of the recess by reason of "Treasury work are not allowed to "reckon the period as entitling them "to privilege leave on full pay "owing to the grant of a Separate "Allowance".

(Initd) C.S.
31.5.04.

Note by the Dy Secy

"This may be in the P.O.A. or Jud or H.C. Regs- "Please get and put up"-

(Initd) K.S.C. 31.5.04.

Subd to the Vice Councillor.

"Vide orders marked in the H.C. Regs- file below. There seems to be no general order as referred to by the Vice Councillor"-

(Initd). K.S.C. 6.6.04

Note by the Councillor

Seen and returned with thanks.

Sandy -

Y L.7.23 of 1900-01.



Submitted
vide orders marked Z & Y. (blags)
in the H br. file below - there seems to be
no general order as referred to by the Councillor.

Seen & returned with thanks.
Please see the order of 7/4/84 ✱
& subsequent correspondence
& let me have any further opinion
of the Council as soon as
7-7-98.
28/3/99
30/7/96

* Flag X. Please See the order of 7.4.1886 and subsequent correspondence. I reserve my further opinion for the Council.

⊗. also orders - dated 7.7.98, 28.3.99, & 30.7.96.

* Flag Y.

⊙ Flag Z. (Sd) C S 26-04

† Flag E. Sd - L.A. 7-6-04.

C-340

Matter for Council Meeting.

Subject.

Whether Mr. Ananda Rao Sirsi, Amuaiff, who was deprived of his Court recess from 14th April to 10th may 1902. by being placed in charge of the Kaour District Treasury and Consequently in charge of the Municipality of Chikkamagalur also, but who was paid an allowance of Rs. 50 per mensem. ~~[redacted]~~ is entitled to privilege leave ~~[redacted]~~ on full pay for that period.

Circulated to Councillors.

By Order,

28.5. 1904 Secretary.

Name.	Remarks by the Councillor.
C. Sreenivasengar Esq: ~~V. P. Madhava Rao, Esq., c.i.e.,~~ First Councillor.	Seen by the Councillor
C-328- Sent on 30-5-04	Seen C. 31-5-04
Received on 30-5-1904.	
29/5/04 O/C. Venkatavaradacengar Esq ~~C. Sreenivasengar, Esq.,~~ Second Councillor.	28/5/1904
Sent on 28.5.04	
Received on	

MGCPB—6'0—4-1-04.

Nov 6th Inv. leave Report

Extract from the Proceedings of the 64th *Meeting of the Council of His Highness the Maharaja, held at Bangalore on the* 15th *June* 1904.

III. Subject- (Rule 4 of the Rules of Business).

The application of W. Ananda Rao Sirsi, Munsiff, to be allowed to count towards privilege leave on full pay the period of 27 days during the midsummer recess of 1902 during which he was in charge of the Chikmagalur Municipality in addition to Treasury work.

Opinions of the Dewan & Councillors.

The allowance of Rs. 50/- drawn by W. Ananda Rao Sirsi covered the charge of the Municipality as well as that of the District Treasury, of which the former was an appendage. Work done as Vice President during vacation cannot qualify for privilege leave on full pay anymore than work done as Treasury officer can. The application must therefore be rejected.

sd/- P. N. Krishna Murti.
Dewan of Mysore.

Offg. Secretary. 20/6/1904

Submitted to His Highness.

I entertained some doubt about this matter which was accordingly discussed in Council – I find that the refusal to grant privilege leave is founded upon practice which need not now be disturbed and I therefore agree recommend that the claim set up by Mr. Chandavarkar Sirdar may be rejected. This is the opinion of the Councillors also

22.VI.04 [illegible]

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

DATE 22.6.04
NO. 1805

18468
20.6.04

Subject.

The application of Mr. Ananda Rao Sirsi Munsiff, to be allowed to count towards privilege leave on full pay the period of 27 days during the midsummer recess of 1902 during which he was in charge of the Chikmagalur Municipality in addition to Treasury work.

Order.

DATE 29/6/04
NO. 1932

I accept the opinion of the Council

~~KRW~~

28/6/04

order as
under
29.VI.04

Jud

Draft
order accd
please RR
28.6.1904

Issue the order within
28/6/04

5656/263 Jr. 1 — 81 — J. 1400 — 10-2-04.

Bangalore.
7th March 1904.

From V. A. Rajaratna Mudaliar Esquire,
Magistrate, Chief Court of Mysore.

To L. Anantha Rao Esquire,
Offg. Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Jen. and Revenue Departments.

Sir, I am directed to forward, for the orders of Government, the enclosed letter dated the 3rd February 1904 from Mr Ananda Rao, S. Sirsi, Additional Munsiff of Mysore (received through the District Judge of Mysore on the 27th ultimo).

Mr Ananda Rao Sirsi, was in charge of the Chickmaglur Treasury and the Municipality for 27 days during the recess of 1902, namely, from 14th April to 10th May and drew the usual allowance of Rs50 for Treasury work. Though Munsiffs who are unable to avail themselves of the recess by reason of Treasury work are not allowed to seek

No:- (10)

Court of the Asst Munsiff of
Mysore dy 3/2/04.

From

Ananda Row. S. Sirsi Esq B.A. L.L.B
Asst Munsiff. Mysore.

To:-

V. S. Nagarathna Moodr Esq.
Registrar, Chief Court, Mysore.
Bangalore.

Sir,

I have the honor to bring to your kind notice that I worked as Vice President of the Chickmagalur Municipality, during the Summer vacation of 1902 for 27 days, from 14th April to 10th May 1902, both days inclusive, without any allowances, and to request that this may be kindly Communicated to the Comptroller for being noted in my History

of Services, so as to enable me to apply for privilege leave on full pay when necessary.

The letter addressed to the Comptroller together with his reply have been sent for reference with this office No 234 dy 23/1/04.

The fact of my having worked during Vice President during the period may be ascertained on reference to the Treasury Office a Deputy Commissioner.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedt servant

Alexander Shaw

Asst Accountant

42

Read:—

Letter No 5656/243 dated the 7th March 1904 from the Registrar of the High Court of Mysore forwarding for the orders of the Govt a letter from Mr Ananda Rao S. Sirsi, Additional Munsiff of Mysore, requesting that he may be allowed to reckon the period of 27 days during the recess of 1902 (from the 14th April to the 10th May) during which he was in charge of the Kadur District Treasury and the Municipality of Chikmagalur in accordance with Govt

C.
13/5/04

order No R. 2229-31 dated 25th November 1901, as entitling him to privilege leave on full pay, on the ground that he worked as Vice-President of the Chikmagalur Municipality without any allowance.

Order thereon: 2822-3 9/30/6/04.

It is observed from the terms of the Govt order of the 28th November 1901 referred to above, that the allowance of Rs 50 was intended for charge of the Municipality as well as of the Treasury. If as it would appear, the charge of the Municipal Treasury at the time of the Treasury Officer's duties, no separate allowance can be claimed for the former; nor can work done in the capacity of Vice President during vacation qualify for

for privilege leave
on full pay for the
appl. period as per regulations.

Done
D.O.C.

Recd
1/8/21

Yrs.

The Secretary
To
The Registrar,
Chief Court.
The Comptroller, H.S.

Proceedings of the Government of His Highenss the Maharaja of Mysore, Judicial, dated 30th June 1904.

READ—

Letter No. 5656—243, dated the 7th March 1904, from the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, forwarding for the orders of the Government a letter from Mr. Ananda Rao S. Sirsi, Additional Munsiff of Mysore, requesting that he may be allowed to reckon the period of 27 days during the recess of 1902 (from the 14th April to the 10th May) during which he was in charge of the Kadur District Treasury and the Municipality of Chikmagalur in accordance with Government Order No. R. 2229-31, dated 25th November 1901, as entitling him to privilege leave on full pay on the ground that he worked as Vice-President of the Chikmagalur Municipality without any allowance.

No. 2822-3—J., DATED BANGALORE, 30TH JUNE 1904.

ORDER THEREON.—It is observed from the terms of the Government Order of the 25th November 1901 referred to above, that the allowance of Rs. 50 was intended for charge of the *Municipality* as well as of the Treasury. If as it would appear, the charge of the Municipality was, at the time, part of the Treasury Officer's duties, no separate allowance can be claimed for the former; nor can work done in the capacity of Vice-President during vacation qualify for privilege leave on full pay. The application of Mr. Ananda Rao S. Sirsi must therefore be rejected.

Offg. Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore.

The Comptroller to Mysore Government.

Exd.—G.S.

1903-04

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

JUDICIAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 30th June 1904

No. 2822-3 / J.

Passing orders on the application of Mr. Ananda Rao S. Sirsi, Additional Munsiff, for being allowed to reckon the period of the summer recess of 1902, during which he was in chargo of the Kadur District Treasury and Chikmagalur Municipality, as entitling him to privilege leave on full pay.

SBP—6-8-04—300